

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,974	09/11/2003	Robert L. Wood	9409-4	8561
75	90 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
Mitchell S. Big	gel		MCPHERSO	N, JOHN A
Myers Bigel Sib	oley & Sajovec, P.A.			
P.O. Box 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Raleigh, NC 2	27627		1756	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>1</i> ,
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,974	WOOD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John A. McPherson	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the properties of the provision	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion.  y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the communication (s) filed on 2a).	This action is non-final.	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are well 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction at claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction at claim(s) The specification is objected to by the Extendal 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ithdrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  caminer.  ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct copies of the priority doct copies of the priority doct copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·	

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to an apparatus for fabricating a blank for a microstructure master, classified in class 118, subclass 45.
- II. Claims 6-16, drawn to a method of fabricating a blank for a microstructure master, and a blank for a microstructure master, classified in class 430, subclass 270.1.
- III. Claims 17-52, drawn to a method of fabricating microstructures, classified in class 430, subclass 320.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product, such as a laminated structure comprising a non-radiation sensitive layer.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/661,974

Art Unit: 1756

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as a process of removing one of the outer layers, transferring the radiation sensitive layer to a substrate, and imaging the transferred radiation sensitive layer.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1756

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. McPherson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/661,974 Page 5

Art Unit: 1756

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John A. McPherson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

JAM 3/15/06